

# "Managing Department Climate Change"

## Presenters

 Ronda Callister Professor, Department of Management and Human Resources, Utah State University P.I. Utah State's NSF ADVANCE IT program, 2003-2006

### Joan Williams

Distinguished Prof., University of California's Hastings College of Law Director, Center for Worklife Law

 Eve Fine Researcher, WISELI – Women in Science & Engineering Leadership Institute, University of Wisconsin-Madison

# Plan for this Panel

### Brief Introduction

- Why focus on department climate
- Each panelist will describe an intervention designed to improve department climate
  - Ronda Callister
  - Eve Fine
  - Joan Williams
- Conclusion
  - Results of interventions
- Q & A/Discussion

# Why focus on Department Climate?

- Individuals experience climate in their immediate workplace – the department
- Perceptions about department climate are key determinants for faculty satisfaction and retention
- Numerous campus surveys show that women faculty experience a more negative climate than do male faculty
- Improving department climate is critical for the retention and advancement of women faculty

## Why Assess Department Climate?

- Assessment is essential for determining strategies for initiating change
- In a research environment, data (quantatative and qualitative) is a powerful inducement for change
- Data is necessary for evaluating change/improvement (or lack of it)
- Pitfalls issues of confidentiality

Options for Assessing Department Climate

- •Listening
- Observation
- Interviews (and other conversations)
- •Surveys
- Consultants

Austin, Ann E. "Understanding and assessing faculty cultures and climates." In M. K. Kinnick (Ed.), Providing useful information for deans and department chairs [Special issue]. *New Directions for Institutional Research* 84 (1994): 47-63.

# **Ronda Callister**

**Utah State** 



University of Wisconsin-Madison

Enhancing Department Climate: A Workshop Series for Department Chairs



Ethnicity celebration Age espect Ideas advocacy Gender participation Income inclusion Language support Race engagement Religion tolerance Physical Ability understanding Sexual orientation recognition

- Behaviors within a workplace or learning environment, ranging from subtle to cumulative to dramatic, that can influence whether an individual feels personally safe, listened to, valued, and treated fairly and with respect.
- The atmosphere or ambience of an organization as perceived by its members. An organization's climate is reflected in its structures, policies, and practices; the demographics of its membership; the attitudes and values of its members and leaders; and the quality of personal interactions



## Selected Methods for Assessing Climate:

### Individual interviews

- With 26 women faculty in the sciences & engineering(2002)
- With representatives of various campus groups -- Climate Workshop Design Team
- Campus-wide Survey
- Department Climate Survey

# Common Climate Themes

- Lack of respect/consideration/politeness
- Insufficient sense of community or belonging
- Lack of recognition/visibility/value
- Ineffective communication
- Lack of support/inequitable access to professional development opportunities
- Difficulties achieving balance between work and family or personal life
- Illegal behaviors and demeaning, sexualizing, or condescending language and behaviors
- Retention/tenure of women and minority faculty, staff, and students
- Chair plays a power role in influencing climate



## Intervention to Improve Department Climate

Enhancing Department Climate: A Workshop Series for Department Chairs

- Session 1
  - Departmental Climate Survey
- Session 2
- Session 3



Introduction to Departmental Climate Survey

# Study of Faculty Worklife at UW-Madison, 2003 -- Findings

Faculty Perceptions of Respectful Treatment in the Workplace, by Gender and Department Chair *I am treated with respect by ...* 



# Study of Faculty Worklife at UW-Madison

### Sample Climate Questions

**51**. With respect to the recruitment of, climate for, and leadership of women faculty, how much would you agree or disagree with the following statements about your primary department/unit?

Circle one number on a scale of 1 to 4 for each statement.		Agree Strongly 1	Agree Somewhat 2	Disagree Somewhat 3	Disagree Strongly 4	Don't Know
a.	There are too few women faculty in my department.	1	2	3	4	DK
b.	My department has identified ways to recruit women faculty.	1	2	3	4	DK
	My department has actively recruited women faculty.	1	2	3	4	DK
d.	The climate for women in my department is good.	1	2	3	4	DK
e.	My department has identified ways to enhance the climate for women.	1	2	3	4	DK
f	Mv department has taken steps to enhance the climate for		. 120	- <u>2</u>		<u> </u>

# Findings – Campus-wide survey, 2003

# Figure 1. The climate for women in my department is good



Statistically significant at p<.05



### Between Sess 1 and Sess 2

### Homework

- Climate from others perspectives
- Implementation of Survey



# Results from departmental surveys

- Since Fall 2003, 38 departments participated and completed the survey
  - Physical Sciences: 13 departments
  - Biological Sciences: 17 departments
  - Social Sciences: 3 departments
  - Humanities: 5 departments
- Total # respondents: 1,836

# Departmental Climate Survey: Results

- A majority of all groups surveyed report positive perceptions of their departmental climate.
- A significant minority (12-15%) of faculty and staff rated their department's climate as very negative or negative.

Overall Climate Rating*									
	N	Very negative/Negative	Mediocre	Positive/Very positive					
Faculty	574	12.9%	21.4%	65.6%					
Academic staff	383	12.1%	22.2%	65.8%					
Classified staff	236	15.2%	22.0%	61.7%					
Graduate students	489	4.9%	17.6%	77.5%					
Post-docs/fellows	61	1.6%	21.3%	77.1%					
All[1]	1804	10.7%	20.6%	68.8%					

\* Response to the question: On a scale from one (very negative) to five (very positive), please rate the climate in your department. [1] Includes some respondents who were not classified as faculty, academic staff, classified staff, grad. student, or post-doc/fellow.

# Departmental Climate Survey: Results

## Some positive results for faculty:

- Approximately 73% feel their department is a welcoming place to work
- 86% understand their roles and responsibilities in the department
- 71% are happy with the professional relationships they have formed with others in the department.
- 90% feel their work contributes to the mission or purpose of the department.



## Departmental Climate Survey: Faculty results

### Some negative results for faculty:

- While 90% feel their work contributes to the mission of the department –only 64% report that others recognize how their work contributes to the department's mission/purpose.
- A significant minority, 21%, feel under-appreciated for their work
- Approx. 20% report a lack of agency in decisions made in the department
- 24% feel isolated in their departments.
- About 10% report experiencing subtle or overt harassment or discrimination due to gender, race, or other personal attributes.



- The dissmisser
- The knower
- The statistician
- The victim
- The traditionalist

# Description of Climate Workshops

### Session #3

- Report on sharing results with departmental members
- Report on actions taken/changes implemented
- Continue discussion of advice, resources, possible actions



# Examples of Changes made/enacted

- Removing toxic faculty members/staff from the department
- Improving communication especially in the area of decision-making
- Creation of departmental committees to further examine issues of climate for women and make recommendations
- Creating opportunities for social and/or professional engagement – weekly lunch, academic seminar series, community service projects, social events
- Changing traditions & practices
  - Mentoring committees/individuals
  - Creating more inclusive departmental traditions/practices
    - Inviting students/student representative to dept mtgs & other events
    - Including staff/staff representatives in dept. mtgs & oether events







#### Differences among people are valued in the department.











The Chair of the department appropriately consults or delegates decisions to a group or committee.



# Results – One department resurveyed 5x



# Findings – Campus-wide surveys: 2003,2006

# Figure 2. The Climate for Women in My Department is Good







#### Figure 5. Climate for Women is Good Responses of Department Chairs





### Session #1

Departmental Survey

http://wiseli.engr.wisc.edu/climate/deptsurvey\_zoomerang.pdf

Benefits & Challenges of Diversity (booklet)

http://wiseli.engr.wisc.edu/docs/Benefits\_Challenges.pdf

 Enhancing Department Climate: A Guide for Department Chairs (brochure)

http://wiseli.engr.wisc.edu/docs/ClimateBrochure.pdf







- Interactive discussion and sharing of ideas and practices between chairs
- Skilled facilitators with broad knowledge of campus issues and resources and strong commitment to equity
- Commitment of chairs to the process of improving climate



- Scheduling
- Chair responses to survey Results
- Commitment of chairs

## Joan Williams

Hastings College of Law Center for Worklife Law University of California



# Conclusion: Q&A Discussion